arXiv:1504.01610v2 [math.DG] 19 Aug 2015

ALMOST COMPLEX STRUCTURES THAT ARE HARMONIC MAPS

JOHANN DAVIDOV, ABSAR UL HAQ, OLEG MUSHKAROV

Abstract. We find geometric conditions on a four-dimensional almost Hermitian manifold under which the almost complex structure is a harmonic map or a minimal isometric imbedding of the manifold into its twistor space.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C43, Secondary 58E20, 53C28

Key words: almost complex structures, twistor spaces, harmonic maps

1. Introduction

Recall that an almost complex structure on a Riemannian manifold (M,g) is called almost Hermitian if it is g-orthogonal. If a Riemannian manifold admits an almost Hermitian structure, it has many such structures. One way to see this is to consider the twistor bundle $\pi:\mathcal{Z}\to M$ whose fibre at a point $p\in M$ consists of all g-orthogonal complex structures $J_p:T_pM\to T_pM$ $(J_p^2=-Id)$ on the tangent space of M at p. The fibre is the compact Hermitian symmetric space $O(2n)/U(n)$ and its standard metric $-\frac{1}{2}Trace\,J_1\circ J_2$ is Kähler-Einstein. The twistor space admits a natural Riemannian metric h such that the projection map $\pi:(\mathcal{Z},h)\to(M,g)$ is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibres. Now suppose that (M,g) admits an almost Hermitian structure J, i.e. a section of the bundle $\pi:\mathcal{Z}\to M$. Take a section V with compact support K of the bundle $J^*\mathcal{V}\to M$, the pull-back under J of the vertical bundle $\mathcal{V}\to\mathcal{Z}$. There exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that, for every point I of the compact set $J(K)$, the exponential map exp_I is a diffeomorphism of the ε-ball in $T_I\mathcal{Z}$. Set $J_t(p)=exp_{J(p)}[tV(p)]$ for $p\in M$ and $t\in(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$. Then J_t is a section of $\mathcal{Z}$, i.e. an almost Hermitian structure on (M,g) (such that $J_t=J$ on $M\setminus K$).

Thus it is natural to seek for "reasonable" criteria that distinguish some of the almost Hermitian structures on a given Riemannian manifold (cf., for example, [6, 23, 24, 4]). Motivated by the harmonic maps theory, C. Wood [23, 24] has suggested to consider as "optimal" those almost Hermitian structures $J:(M,g)\to(\mathcal{Z},h)$ that are critical points of the energy functional under variations through sections of $\mathcal{Z}$, i.e. that are harmonic sections of the twistor bundle. In general, these critical points are not harmonic maps, but, by analogy, they are referred to as "harmonic almost complex structures" in [23, 24].

The almost Hermitian structures that are critical points of the energy functional under variations through all maps $M\to\mathcal{Z}$ are genuine harmonic maps and the

---

The first and the third named authors are partially supported by the National Science Fund, Ministry of Education and Science of Bulgaria under contract DFNI-I 02/14.

purpose of this paper is to find geometric conditions on a four-dimensional almost Hermitian manifold (M,g,J) under which the almost complex structure J is a harmonic map of (M,g) into $(\mathcal{Z},h)$. We also find conditions for minimality of the submanifold $J(M)$ of the twistor space. As is well-known, in dimension four, there are three basic classes in the Gray-Hervella classification [14] of almost Hermitian structures - Hermitian, almost Kähler (symplectic) and Kähler structures. If (g,J) is Kähler, the map $J:(M,g)\to(\mathcal{Z},h)$ is a totally geodesic isometric imbedding. In the case of a Hermitian structure, we express the conditions for harmonicity and minimality of J in terms of the Lee form, the Ricci and star-Ricci tensors of (M,g,J), while for an almost Kähler structure the conditions are in terms of the Ricci, star-Ricci and Nijenhuis tensors. Several examples illustrating these results are discussed in the last section of the paper, among them a Hermitian structure that is a harmonic section of the twistor bundle and a minimal isometric imbedding in it but not a harmonic map.

2. Preliminaries

Let (M,g) be an oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension four. The metric g induces a metric on the bundle of two-vectors $\pi:\Lambda^2TM\to M$ by the formula

$$g(v_1\wedge v_2,v_3\wedge v_4)=\frac{1}{2}det[g(v_i,v_j)].$$

The Levi-Civita connection of (M,g) determines a connection on the bundle Λ^2TM, both denoted by ∇, and the corresponding curvatures are related by

$$R(X\wedge Y)(Z\wedge T)=R(X,Y)Z\wedge T+Z\wedge R(X,Y)T$$

for $X,Y,Z,T\in TM$. The curvature operator $\mathcal{R}$ is the self-adjoint endomorphism of Λ^2TM defined by

$$g(\mathcal{R}(X\wedge Y),Z\wedge T)=g(R(X,Y)Z,T)$$

Let us note that we adopt the following definition for the curvature tensor R : $R(X,Y)=\nabla_{[X,Y]}-[\nabla_X,\nabla_Y]$.

The Hodge star operator defines an endomorphism $*$ of Λ^2TM with $*^2=Id$. Hence we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\Lambda^2TM=\Lambda^2_-TM\oplus\Lambda^2_+TM$$

where $\Lambda^2_\pm TM$ are the subbundles of Λ^2TM corresponding to the (±1)-eigenvalues of the operator $*$.

Let (E_1,E_2,E_3,E_4) be a local oriented orthonormal frame of TM. Set

$$s_1=E_1\wedge E_2+E_3\wedge E_4,\quad s_2=E_1\wedge E_3+E_4\wedge E_2,\quad s_3=E_1\wedge E_4+E_2\wedge E_3. \tag{1}$$

Then (s_1,s_2,s_3) is a local orthonormal frame of Λ^2_+TM defining an orientation on Λ^2_+TM, which does not depend on the choice of the frame (E_1,E_2,E_3,E_4).

For every $a\in\Lambda^2TM$, define a skew-symmetric endomorphism K_a of $T_{\pi(a)}M$ by

$$g(K_aX,Y)=2g(a,X\wedge Y),\quad X,Y\in T_{\pi(a)}M. \tag{2}$$

Note that, denoting by G the standard metric $-\frac{1}{2}Trace\,PQ$ on the space of skew-symmetric endomorphisms, we have $G(K_a,K_b)=2g(a,b)$ for $a,b\in\Lambda^2TM$. If

$\sigma \in \Lambda^2_+TM$ is a unit vector, then K_σ is a complex structure on the vector space $T_{\pi(\sigma)}M$ compatible with the metric and the orientation of M. Conversely, the 2-vector σ dual to one half of the fundamental 2-form of such a complex structure is a unit vector in Λ^2_+TM. Thus the unit sphere subbunlde $\mathcal{Z}$ of Λ^2_+TM parametrizes the complex structures on the tangent spaces of M compatible with its metric and orientation. This subbundle is called the twistor space of M.

The Levi-Civita connection ∇ of M preserves the bundles $\Lambda^2_\pm TM$, so it induces a metric connection on each of them denoted again by ∇. The horizontal distribution of Λ^2_+TM with respect to ∇ is tangent to the twistor space $\mathcal{Z}$. Thus we have the decomposition $T\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ of the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{Z}$ into horizontal and vertical components. The vertical space $\mathcal{V}_\tau = \{V \in T_\tau\mathcal{Z} : \ \pi_*V = 0\}$ at a point $\tau \in \mathcal{Z}$ is the tangent space to the fibre of $\mathcal{Z}$ through τ. Considering $T_\tau\mathcal{Z}$ as a subspace of $T_\tau(\Lambda^2_+TM)$ (as we shall always do), $\mathcal{V}_\tau$ is the orthogonal complement of τ in $\Lambda^2_+T_{\pi(\tau)}M$. The map $V \ni \mathcal{V}_\tau \to K_V$ gives an identification of the vertical space with the space of skew-symmetric endomorphisms of $T_{\pi(\tau)}M$ that anti-commute with K_τ. Let s be a local section of $\mathcal{Z}$ such that $s(p) = \tau$ where $p = \pi(\tau)$. Considering s as a section of Λ^2_+TM, we have $\nabla_X s \in \mathcal{V}_\tau$ for every $X \in T_pM$ since s has a constant length. Moreover, $X^h_\tau = s_*X - \nabla_X s$ is the horizontal lift of X at τ.

Denote by × the usual vector cross product on the oriented 3-dimensional vector space $\Lambda^2_+T_pM$, $p \in M$, endowed with the metric g. Then it is easy to check that

$$g(R(a)b, c) = g(\mathcal{R}(b \times c), a) \tag{3}$$

for $a \in \Lambda^2T_pM$, $b, c \in \Lambda^2_+T_pM$. It is also easy to show that for every $a, b \in \Lambda^2_+T_pM$

$$K_a \circ K_b = -g(a, b)Id + K_{a\times b}. \tag{4}$$

For every $t > 0$, define a Riemannian metric h_t by

$$h_t(X^h_\sigma + V, Y^h_\sigma + W) = g(X, Y) + tg(V, W)$$

for $\sigma \in \mathcal{Z}_+$, $X, Y \in T_{\pi(\sigma)}M$, $V, W \in \mathcal{V}_\sigma$.

The twistor space $\mathcal{Z}$ admits two natural almost complex structures that are compatible with the metrics h_t. One of them has been introduced by Atiyah, Hitchin and Singer who have proved that it is integrable if and only if the base manifold is anti-self-dual [2]. The other one, introduced by Eells and Salamon, although never integrable, plays an important role in harmonic maps theory [11].

The action of $SO(4)$ on $\Lambda^2\mathbb{R}^4$ preserves the decomposition $\Lambda^2\mathbb{R}^4 = \Lambda^2_+\mathbb{R}^4 \oplus \Lambda^2_-\mathbb{R}^4$. Thus, considering S^2 as the unit sphere in $\Lambda^2_+\mathbb{R}^4$, we have an action of the group $SO(4)$ on S^2. Then, if $SO(M)$ denotes the principal bundle of the oriented orthonormal frames on M, the twistor space $\mathcal{Z}$ is the associated bundle $SO(M)\times_{SO(4)} S^2$. It follows from the Vilms theorem (see, for example, [3, Theorem 9.59]) that the projection map $\pi : (\mathcal{Z}, h_t) \to (M, g)$ is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibres (this can also be proved by a direct computation).

Let $(N, x_1, ..., x_4)$ be a local coordinate system of M and let $(E_1, ..., E_4)$ be an oriented orthonormal frame of TM on N. If (s_1, s_2, s_3) is the local frame of Λ^2_+TM define by (1), then $\widetilde{x}_a = x_a \circ \pi$, $y_j(\tau) = g(\tau, (s_j \circ \pi)(\tau))$, $1 \le a \le 4$, $1 \le j \le 3$, are local coordinates of Λ^2_+TM on $\pi^{-1}(N)$.

The horizontal lift X^h on $\pi^{-1}(N)$ of a vector field

$$X=\sum_{a=1}^{4}X^a\frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}$$

is given by

$$X^h=\sum_{a=1}^{4}(X^a\circ\pi)\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{x}_a}-\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}y_j(g(\nabla_X s_j,s_k)\circ\pi)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}. \tag{5}$$

Hence

$$[X^h,Y^h]=[X,Y]^h+\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}y_j(g(R(X\wedge Y)s_j,s_k)\circ\pi)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \tag{6}$$

for every vector fields X,Y on N. Let $\tau\in\mathcal{Z}$. Using the standard identification $T_\tau(\Lambda^2_+T_{\pi(\tau)}M)\cong\Lambda^2_+T_{\pi(\tau)}M$, we obtain from (6) the well-known formula

$$[X^h,Y^h]_\tau=[X,Y]^h_\tau+R_p(X\wedge Y)\tau,\quad p=\pi(\tau). \tag{7}$$

Denote by D the Levi-Chivita connection of $(\mathcal{Z},h_t)$. Then we have the following

Lemma 1. ([8]) *If X,Y are vector fields on M and V is a vertical vector field on $\mathcal{Z}$, then*

$$(D_{X^h}Y^h)_\tau=(\nabla_XY)^h_\tau+\frac{1}{2}R_p(X\wedge Y)\tau, \tag{8}$$

$$(D_VX^h)_\tau=\mathcal{H}(D_{X^h}V)_\tau=-\frac{t}{2}(R_p(\tau\times V)X)^h_\tau \tag{9}$$

where $\tau\in\mathcal{Z}$, $p=\pi(\tau)$, and $\mathcal{H}$ means "the horizontal component".

Proof. Identity (8) follows from the Koszul formula for the Levi-Chivita connection and (7).

Let W be a vertical vector field on $\mathcal{Z}$. Then

$$h_t(D_VX^h,W)=-h_t(X^h,D_VW)=0$$

since the fibres are totally geodesic submanifolds, so D_VW is a vertical vector field. Therefore D_VX^h is a horizontal vector field. Moreover, $[V,X^h]$ is a vertical vector field, hence $D_VX^h=\mathcal{H}D_{X^h}V$. Thus

$$h_t(D_VX^h,Y^h)=h_t(D_{X^h}V,Y^h)=-h_t(V,D_{X^h}Y^h).$$

Now (9) follows from (8) and (3).

Let (M,g,J) be an almost Hermitian manifold of dimension four. Define a section $\mathfrak{J}$ of Λ^2TM by

$$g(\mathfrak{J},X\wedge Y)=\frac{1}{2}g(JX,Y),\quad X,Y\in TM.$$

Note that the section $2\mathfrak{J}$ is dual to the fundamental 2-form of (M,g,J). Consider M with the orientation induced by the almost complex structure J. Then $\mathfrak{J}$ takes its values in the twistor space $\mathcal{Z}$ of the Riemannian manifold (M,g).

Let $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ be the Atyah-Hitchin-Singer and Eells-Salamon almost complex structures on the twistor space $\mathcal{Z}$. It is well-known (and easy to see) that the map $\mathfrak{J}:(M,J)\to(\mathcal{Z},\mathcal{J}_1)$ is holomorphic if and only if the almost complex structure J is integrable, while $\mathfrak{J} : (M, J) \to (\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{J}_2)$ is holomorphic if and only if J is symplectic (i.e. (g, J) is an almost Kähler structure).

In this note we are going to find geometric conditions under which the map $\mathfrak{J} : (M, g) \to (\mathcal{Z}, h_t)$ that represents J is harmonic.

Let $\mathfrak{J}^{-1}T\mathcal{Z} \to M$ be the pull-back of the bundle $T\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Z}$ under the map $\mathfrak{J} : M \to \mathcal{Z}$. Then we can consider the differential $\mathfrak{J}_* : TM \to T\mathcal{Z}$ as a section of the bundle $Hom(TM, \mathfrak{J}^{-1}T\mathcal{Z}) \to M$. Denote by $D^{(\mathfrak{J})}$ the connection on $\mathfrak{J}^{-1}T\mathcal{Z}$ induced by the Levi-Civita connection D on $T\mathcal{Z}$. The Levi Civita connection ∇ on TM and the connection $D^{(\mathfrak{J})}$ on $\mathfrak{J}^{-1}T\mathcal{Z}$ induce a connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ on the bundle $Hom(TM, \mathfrak{J}^{-1}T\mathcal{Z})$. The map $\mathfrak{J} : (M, g) \to (\mathcal{Z}, h_t)$ is harmonic iff

$$Trace_g \widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_* = 0.$$

(cf., for example, [10]). Recall also that the map $\mathfrak{J} : (M, g) \to (\mathcal{Z}, h_t)$ is totally geodesic iff $\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_* = 0$.

Proposition 1. *For every $X, Y \in T_pM$, $p \in M$,*

$$\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_*(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}[\nabla^2_{XY}\mathfrak{J} - g(\nabla^2_{XY}\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{J})\mathfrak{J}(p) + \nabla^2_{YX}\mathfrak{J} - g(\nabla^2_{YX}\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{J})\mathfrak{J}(p)$$
$$-t(R_p(\mathfrak{J} \times \nabla_X\mathfrak{J})Y)^h_\sigma - t(R_p(\mathfrak{J} \times \nabla_Y\mathfrak{J})X)^h_\sigma]$$

where $\nabla^2_{XY}\mathfrak{J} = \nabla_X\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J} - \nabla_{\nabla_XY}\mathfrak{J}$ is the second covariant derivative of $\mathfrak{J}$.

Proof. Extend X and Y to vector fields in a neighbourhood of the point p. Take an oriented orthonormal frame $E_1, ..., E_4$ near p such that $E_3 = JE_2$, $E_4 = JE_1$, so $\mathfrak{J} = s_3$. Define coordinates $(\tilde{x}_a, y_j)$ as above by means of this frame and a coordinate system of M at p. Set

$$V_1 = (1 - y_2^2)^{-1/2}(y_3\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} - y_1\frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}),$$
$$V_2 = (1 - y_2^2)^{-1/2}(-y_1y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + (1 - y_2^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} - y_2y_3\frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}).$$

Then V_1, V_2 is a g-orthonormal frame of vertical vector fields in a neighbourhood of the point $\sigma = \mathfrak{J}(p)$ such that $V_1 \circ \mathfrak{J} = s_1$, $V_2 \circ \mathfrak{J} = s_2$. Note also that $[V_1, V_2]_\sigma = 0$. This and the Kozsul formula imply $(D_{V_k}V_l)_\sigma = 0$ since $D_{V_k}V_l$ are vertical vector fields, $k, l = 1, 2$. Thus $D_WV_l = 0$, $l = 1, 2$, for every vertical vector W at σ. We have

$$\mathfrak{J}_* \circ Y = Y^h \circ \mathfrak{J} + \nabla_Y\mathfrak{J} = Y^h \circ \mathfrak{J} + \sum_{k=1}^{2} g(\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J}, s_k)(V_k \circ \mathfrak{J}),$$

hence

$$D^{(\mathfrak{J})}_X(\mathfrak{J}_* \circ Y) = (D_{\mathfrak{J}_*X}Y^h) \circ \mathfrak{J} + \textstyle\sum_{k=1}^2 g(\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J}, s_k)(D_{\mathfrak{J}_*X}V_k) \circ \mathfrak{J}$$
$$+ \textstyle\sum_{k=1}^2[g(\nabla_X\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J}, s_k) + g(\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J}, \nabla_Xs_k)](V_k \circ \mathfrak{J})$$

This, in view of Lemma 1, implies

$$D^{(\mathfrak{J})}_{X_p}(\mathfrak{J}_* \circ Y) = (\nabla_XY)^h_\sigma + \frac{1}{2}R(X, Y)\sigma$$
$$-\frac{t}{2}(R_p(\mathfrak{J} \times \nabla_X\mathfrak{J})Y)^h_\sigma - \frac{t}{2}(R_p(\mathfrak{J} \times \nabla_Y\mathfrak{J})X)^h_\sigma$$
$$+ \textstyle\sum_{k=1}^2 g(\nabla_X\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J}, s_k)s_k(p) + \sum_{k=1}^2[g(\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J}, s_k)[X^h, V_k]_\sigma + g(\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J}, \nabla_Xs_k)s_k(p)].$$

An easy computation using (5) gives

$$[X^h,V_1]_\sigma=g(\nabla_X s_1,s_2)s_2(p),\quad [X^h,V_2]_\sigma=g(\nabla_X s_2,s_1)s_1(p).$$

These identities imply

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2}[g(\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J},s_k)[X^h,V_k]_\sigma+g(\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J},\nabla_X s_k)s_k(p)]=0.$$

Since $g(\nabla_X\mathfrak{J},\mathfrak{J})=0$ for every $X\in T_pM$, we have

$$g(\nabla_Y\nabla_X\mathfrak{J},\mathfrak{J})=-g(\nabla_X\mathfrak{J},\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J})=g(\nabla_X\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J},\mathfrak{J})$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2}g(\nabla_X\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J},s_k)s_k(p)=\nabla_X\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J}-\frac{1}{2}[g(\nabla_X\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J}+\nabla_Y\nabla_X\mathfrak{J},\mathfrak{J}]\mathfrak{J}(p).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_*(X,Y)&=D^{(\mathfrak{J})}_{X_p}(\mathfrak{J}_*\circ Y)-(\nabla_XY)^h_\sigma-\nabla_{\nabla_XY}\mathfrak{J}\\ &=\frac{1}{2}[\nabla_X\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J}-\nabla_{\nabla_XY}\mathfrak{J}-g(\nabla_X\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J},\mathfrak{J})\mathfrak{J}(p)\\ &+\nabla_Y\nabla_X\mathfrak{J}-\nabla_{\nabla_YX}\mathfrak{J}-g(\nabla_Y\nabla_X\mathfrak{J},\mathfrak{J})\mathfrak{J}(p)\\ &-t(R_p(\mathfrak{J}\times\nabla_X\mathfrak{J})Y)^h_\sigma-t(R_p(\mathfrak{J}\times\nabla_Y\mathfrak{J})X)^h_\sigma)].\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1. *If (M,g,J) is a Kähler surface, the map $\mathfrak{J}:(M,g)\to(\mathcal{Z},h_t)$ is a totally geodesic isometric imbedding.*

Remark 1. By a result of C. Wood [23, 24], J is a harmonic almost complex structure, i.e. $\mathfrak{J}$ a harmonic section of the twistor space $(\mathcal{Z},h_t)\to(M,g)$ if and only if $[J,\nabla^*\nabla J]=0$ where $\nabla^*\nabla$ is the rough Laplacian. Taking into account that $\nabla^*\nabla J=-Trace\,\nabla^2J$, one can see that the latter condition is equivalent to

$$g(Trace\,\nabla^2\mathfrak{J},X\wedge Y-JX\wedge JY)=0,\quad X,Y\in TM,$$

which is equivalent to $\mathcal{V}Trace\,\nabla^2\mathfrak{J}=0$. Thus, by Proposition 1, $\mathfrak{J}$ is a harmonic section if and only if

$$\mathcal{V}Trace\,\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_*=0.$$

3. HARMONICITY OF $\mathfrak{J}$

Let $\Omega(X,Y)=g(JX,Y)$ be the fundamental 2-form of the almost Hermitian manifold (M,g,J). Denote by N the Nijenhuis tensor of J

$$N(Y,Z)=-[Y,Z]+[JY,JZ]-J[Y,JZ]-J[JY,Z].$$

It is well-known (and easy to check) that

$$2g((\nabla_XJ)(Y),Z)=d\Omega(X,Y,Z)-d\Omega(X,JY,JZ)+g(N(Y,Z),JX),\tag{10}$$

for all $X,Y,Z\in TM$.

3.1. **The case of integrable J.** Suppose that the almost complex structure J is integrable. This is equivalent to $(\nabla_X J)(Y) = (\nabla_{JX} J)(JY)$, $X, Y \in TM$ [13, Corollary 4.2]. Let B be the vector field on M dual to the Lee form $\theta = -\delta\Omega \circ J$ with respect to the metric g. Then (10) and the identity $d\Omega = \theta \wedge \Omega$ imply the following well-known formula

$$2(\nabla_X J)(Y) = g(JX, Y)B - g(B, Y)JX + g(X, Y)JB - g(JB, Y)X. \tag{11}$$

We have

$$g(\nabla_X \mathfrak{J}, Y \wedge Z) = \frac{1}{2} g((\nabla_X J)(Y), Z)$$

and it follows that

$$\nabla_X \mathfrak{J} = \frac{1}{2}(JX \wedge B + X \wedge JB). \tag{12}$$

The latter identity implies

$$\nabla^2_{XY}\mathfrak{J} = \frac{1}{2}[(\nabla_X J)(Y) \wedge B + Y \wedge (\nabla_X J)(B) + JY \wedge \nabla_X B + Y \wedge J\nabla_X B]. \tag{13}$$

Now recall that the $*$-Ricci tensor ρ^* of the almost Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) is defined by

$$\rho^*(X, Y) = trace\{Z \to R(JZ, X)JY\}.$$

Note that

$$\rho^*(JX, JY) = \rho^*(Y, X), \tag{14}$$

in particular $\rho^*(X, JX) = 0$.

Denote by ρ the Ricci tensor of the Riemannian manifold (M, g).

Theorem 1. *Suppose that the almost complex structure J is integrable. Then the map $\mathfrak{J} : (M, g) \to (\mathcal{Z}, h_t)$ is harmonic if and only $d\theta$ is a $(1,1)$-form and $\rho(X, B) = \rho^*(X, B)$ for every $X \in TM$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 1 the map $\mathfrak{J}$ is harmonic if and only if

$$\mathcal{V} Trace\, \widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_* = \mathcal{V} Trace\, \nabla^2 \mathfrak{J} = 0$$

and

$$\mathcal{H} Trace\, \widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_* = Trace\,\{TM \ni X \to R(\mathfrak{J} \times \nabla_X \mathfrak{J})X\} = 0.$$

It follows from identity (13) that for every $X, Y \in TM$

$$\begin{aligned} &4g(Trace\, \nabla^2\mathfrak{J}, X \wedge Y) = \\ &-g(\nabla_{JX}B, Y) + g(\nabla_{JY}B, X) - g(\nabla_X B, JY) + g(\nabla_Y B, JX) + ||B||^2 g(X, JY) \\ &= -d\theta(JX, Y) - d\theta(X, JY) + ||B||^2 g(X, JY). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Take an orthonormal frame $E_1, E_2 = JE_1, E_3, E_4 = JE_3$ so that $\mathfrak{J} = E_1 \wedge JE_1 + E_3 \wedge JE_3$. Then (15) implies

$$4g(Trace\, \nabla^2\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{J}) g(\mathfrak{J}, X \wedge Y) = -||B||^2 g(JX, Y).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 4g(\mathcal{V}\, Trace\, \nabla^2\mathfrak{J}, X \wedge Y) &= 4[g(Trace\, \nabla^2\mathfrak{J}, X \wedge Y) - g(Trace\, \nabla^2\mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{J}) g(\mathfrak{J}, X \wedge Y)] \\ &= -d\theta(JX, Y) - d\theta(X, JY). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Thus $\mathcal{V}\,Trace\,\nabla^2\mathfrak{J}=0$ if and only if $d\theta(JX,Y)+d\theta(X,JY)=0$, which is equivalent to $d\theta$ being of type $(1,1)$.

Identity (12) implies that

$$\mathfrak{J}\times\nabla_X\mathfrak{J}=g(\nabla_X\mathfrak{J},s_2)s_3-g(\nabla_X\mathfrak{J},s_3)s_2=g(\nabla_{JX}\mathfrak{J},s_3)s_3+g(\nabla_{JX}\mathfrak{J},s_2)s_2$$

$$=\nabla_{JX}\mathfrak{J}=-\frac{1}{2}(X\wedge B-JX\wedge JB).$$

It follows that for every $X\in TM$

$$2\mathcal{H}Trace\,\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_*=2\sum_{i=1}^{4}g(R(\mathfrak{J}\times\nabla_{E_i}\mathfrak{J})E_i,X)=-\rho(X,B)+\rho^*(X,B) \tag{17}$$

This proves the theorem.

Remark 1 and the proof of Theorem 1 give the following.

Corollary 2. *The map $\mathfrak{J}:(M,g)\to(\mathcal{Z},h_t)$ representing an integrable almost Hermitian structure J on (M,g) is a harmonic section if and only if the 2-form $d\theta$ is of type $(1,1)$.*

Remark 2. Note that the 2-form $d\theta$ of a Hermitian surface (M,g,J) is of type $(1,1)$ if and only if the $\star$-Ricci tensor ρ^* is symmetric.

Indeed, let $s=Trace\,\rho$ and $s^*=Trace\,\rho^*$ be the scalar and $*$-scalar curvatures. Set

$$L(X,Y)=(\nabla_X\theta)(Y)+\frac{1}{2}\theta(X)\theta(Y),\quad X,Y\in TM.$$

Formula (3.4) in [22] implies the following identity ([19])

$$\rho(X,Y)-\rho^*(X,Y)=\frac{1}{2}[L(JX,JY)-L(X,Y)]+\frac{s-s^*}{4}g(X,Y).$$

It follows that, when ρ is J-invariant, ρ^* is symmetric if and only if $L(JX,JY)-L(JY,JX)=L(X,Y)-L(Y,X)$. This identity is equivalent to $d\theta(JX,JY)=d\theta(X,Y)$, which means that $d\theta$ is of type $(1,1)$.

3.2. **The case of simplectic J.**

Recall that an almost Hermitian manifold is called almost Kähler (or symplectic) if its fundamental 2-form is closed.

Denote by Λ^2_0TM the subbundle of Λ^2_+TM orthogonal to $\mathfrak{J}$ (thus $\Lambda^2_0T_pM=\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{J}(p)}$). Under this notation we have the following.

Theorem 2. *Let (M,g,J) be an almost Kähler 4-manifold. Then the map $\mathfrak{J}:(M,g)\to(\mathcal{Z},h_t)$ is harmonic if and only if the $*$-Ricci tensor ρ^* is symmetric and*

$$Trace\,\{\Lambda^2_0TM\ni\tau\to R(\tau)(N(\tau))\}=0.$$

Proof. The 2-form Ω is harmonic since $d\Omega=0$ and $*\Omega=\Omega$, so by the Weitzenböck formula

$$(Trace\,\nabla^2\Omega)(X,Y)=Trace\{Z\to(R(Z,Y)\Omega)(Z,X)-(R(Z,X)\Omega)(Z,Y)\},$$

$X,Y\in TM$ (see, for example, [10]). We have

$$(R(Z,Y)\Omega)(Z,X)=-\Omega(R(Z,Y)Z,X)-\Omega(Z,R(Z,Y)X)$$

$$=g(R(Z,Y)Z,JX)+g(R(Z,Y)X,JZ).$$

Hence

$$(Trace\,\nabla^2\Omega)(X,Y)=\rho(Y,JX)-\rho(X,JY)+2\rho^*(X,JY).$$

Thus

$$2g(Trace\,\nabla^2\mathfrak{J}, X\wedge Y) = (Trace\,\nabla^2\Omega)(X,Y) = \rho(Y,JX) - \rho(X,JY) + 2\rho^*(X,JY). \tag{18}$$

Let $E_1, ..., E_4$ be an orthonormal basis of a tangent space T_pM such that $E_2 = JE_1$, $E_4 = JE_3$. Define s_1, s_2, s_3 by (1). Then $\mathfrak{J}(p) = s_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{J}(p)} = span\{s_2, s_3\}$. By Proposition 1 and identity (18)

$$\begin{aligned} g(Trace\,\widetilde{\widetilde{\nabla}}^2\mathfrak{J}_*, s_2) &= g(Trace\,\nabla^2\mathfrak{J}, s_2) = \rho^*(E_1,E_4) - \rho^*(E_4,E_1),\\ g(Trace\,\widetilde{\widetilde{\nabla}}^2\mathfrak{J}_*, s_3) &= g(Trace\,\nabla^2\mathfrak{J}, s_3) = -\rho^*(E_1,E_3) - \rho^*(E_2,E_4). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

It follows, in view of (14), that $\mathcal{V}Trace\,\widetilde{\widetilde{\nabla}}^2\mathfrak{J}_* = 0$ if and only if $\rho^*(E_i,E_j) = \rho^*(E_j,E_i)$, $i,j = 1, ..., 4$.

In order to compute $\mathcal{H}Trace\widetilde{\widetilde{\nabla}}\mathfrak{J}_* = Trace\,\{TM \ni X \to (R(\mathfrak{J}\times\nabla_X\mathfrak{J})X)^h\}$ we first note that, by (10),

$$g((\nabla_X J)(Y), Z) = \frac{1}{2}g(N(Y,Z), JX).$$

Then

$$g(\nabla_X\mathfrak{J}, Y\wedge Z) = \frac{1}{2}g((\nabla_X J)(Y), Z) = \frac{1}{4}g(N(Y,Z), JX)$$

The Nijenhuis tensor $N(Y,Z)$ is skew-symmetric, so it induces a linear map $\Lambda^2TM \to TM$ which we denote again by N. It follows that, for every $a \in \Lambda^2TM$ and $X \in T_{\pi(a)}M$,

$$g(\nabla_X\mathfrak{J}, a) = \frac{1}{4}g(N(a), JX). \tag{20}$$

Take an orthonormal basis $E_1, ..., E_4$ of T_pM, $p\in M$, with $E_2 = JE_1$, $E_4 = JE_3$. Define s_1, s_2, s_3 by (1). Then $\mathfrak{J} = s_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}\times\nabla_X\mathfrak{J} = g(\nabla_X\mathfrak{J}, s_2)s_3 - g(\nabla_X\mathfrak{J}, s_3)s_2 &= -\frac{1}{4}[g(JN(s_2),X)s_3 - g(JN(s_3),X)s_2]\\ &= \frac{1}{4}[g(N(s_3),X)s_3 + g(N(s_2),X)s_2] = -\nabla_{JX}\mathfrak{J}, \end{aligned}$$

in view of (20) and the identities

$$N(JX,Y) = N(X,JY) = -JN(X,Y), \quad X,Y\in T_pM. \tag{21}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} g(Trace\,\{TM\ni X\to R(\mathfrak{J}\times\nabla_X\mathfrak{J})X\}, Y) &= -\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^4 g(R(\nabla_{JE_i}\mathfrak{J})E_i, Y)\\ &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^4[g(\nabla_{JE_i}\mathfrak{J}, s_2)g(R(s_2)Y,E_i) + g(\nabla_{JE_i}\mathfrak{J}, s_3)g(R(s_3)Y,E_i)]\\ &= g(\nabla_{JR(s_2)Y}\mathfrak{J}, s_2) + g(\nabla_{JR(s_3)Y}\mathfrak{J}, s_3)\\ &= -\frac{1}{4}[g(N(s_2), R(s_2)Y) + g(N(s_3), R(s_3)Y)]\\ &= \frac{1}{4}[g(R(s_2)(N(s_2)),Y) + g(R(s_3)(N(s_3)),Y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$4g(Trace\,\{TM\ni X\to R(\mathfrak{J}\times\nabla_X\mathfrak{J})X\}, Y) = g(Trace\,\{\Lambda^2_0TM\ni\tau\to R(\tau)(N(\tau))\}, Y). \tag{22}$$

This proves the theorem.

4. Minimality of $\mathfrak{J}$

The map $\mathfrak{J}: M\to\mathcal{Z}$ is an imbedding and, in this section, we discuss the problem when $\mathfrak{J}(M)$ is a minimal submanifold of $(\mathcal{Z},h_t)$.

Let D' be the Levi-Civita connection of the metric on $\mathfrak{J}(M)$ induced by the metric h_t on $\mathcal{Z}$. Let Π be the second fundamental form of the submanifold $\mathfrak{J}(M)$. Then, as is well-known (and easy to see), for every vector fields X,Y on M

$$\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_{*}(X,Y)=D'_{\mathfrak{J}_{*}X}(\mathfrak{J}_{*}\circ Y\circ\mathfrak{J}^{-1})+\Pi(\mathfrak{J}_{*}X,\mathfrak{J}_{*}Y)-\mathfrak{J}_{*}(\nabla_{X}Y).$$

Thus $\Pi(\mathfrak{J}_{*}X,\mathfrak{J}_{*}Y)$ is the normal component of $\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_{*}(X,Y)$, in particular $\mathfrak{J}(M)$ is a minimal submanifold if and only if the normal component of $Trace\,\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_{*}$ vanishes.

4.1. The case of integrable J.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that the almost complex structure J is integrable. Then the map $\mathfrak{J}: M\to(\mathcal{Z},h_t)$ is a minimal isometric imbedding if and only if $d\theta$ is a $(1,1)$ form and $\rho(X,B)=\rho^{*}(X,B)$ for every $X\perp\{B,JB\}$.*

Proof. Let $p\in M$ and suppose that $B_p=0$. Then $\nabla\mathfrak{J}|_p=0$ by (12). Hence $\mathfrak{J}_{*}(X)=X^{h}_{\mathfrak{J}(p)}$ for every $X\in T_pM$. Thus the tangent space of $\mathfrak{J}(M)$ at the point $\mathfrak{J}(p)$ is the horizontal space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{J}(p)}$, while the normal space is the vertical space $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{J}(p)}$. Let E_1, $E_2=JE_1$, E_3, $E_4=JE_3$ be an orthonormal basis of T_pM and define s_1,s_2,s_3 by formula (1). Then $\mathfrak{J}(p)=s_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{J}(p)}=span\{s_2,s_3\}$ Hence the normal component at $\mathfrak{J}(p)$ of $Trace\,\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_{*}$ vanishes if and only if

$$g(\mathcal{V}Trace\,\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_{*},s_2)=g(\mathcal{V}Trace\,\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_{*},s_3)=0.$$

Applying (16), we see that this is equivalent to

$$d\theta(E_2,E_3)=-d\theta(E_1,E_4),\quad d\theta(E_2,E_4)=d\theta(E_1,E_3).$$

The latter identities are equivalent to $(d\theta)_p$ being of type $(1,1)$.

Now assume that $B_p\neq 0$. Then we can find an orthonormal basis of T_pM of the form $E, JE, ||B_p||^{-1}B_p, ||B_p||^{-1}JB_p$. It follows from (12) that

$$E^{h}_{\mathfrak{J}(p)}-\frac{4}{t||B_p||^2}\nabla_{E}\mathfrak{J},\quad (JE)^{h}_{\mathfrak{J}(p)}-\frac{4}{t||B_p||^2}\nabla_{JE}\mathfrak{J}$$

is a h_t-orthogonal basis of the normal space of $\mathfrak{J}(M)$ at $\mathfrak{J}(p)$. Therefore, according to Proposition 1, the normal component of the vertical part of $Trace\,\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_{*}$ at $s(p)$ vanishes if and only if

$$g(\mathcal{V}\,Trace\,\nabla^2\mathfrak{J},\nabla_{E}\mathfrak{J})=g(\mathcal{V}\,Trace\,\nabla^2\mathfrak{J},\nabla_{JE}\mathfrak{J})=0.$$

It follows from (12) and (16) that the latter identities hold if and only if $d\theta(X,B)=d\theta(JX,JB)$ for every $X\perp\{B_p,JB_p\}$, which is equivalent to $d\theta$ being of type $(1,1)$. Moreover, by (17), the normal component of the horizontal part of $Trace\,\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_{*}$ vanishes if and only if

$$-\rho(E,B)+\rho^{*}(E,B)=-\rho(JE,B)+\rho^{*}(JE,JB)=0,$$

or, equivalently, $\rho(X,B)=\rho^{*}(X,B)$ for every $X\in T_pM$, $X\perp\{B_p,JB_p\}$.

Corollary 3. *If J is integrable and the Ricci tensor is J-invariant then, the map $\mathfrak{J}: M\to(\mathcal{Z},h_t)$ is a minimal isometric imbedding.*

Proof. According to [19, Lemma 1], the Ricci tensor ρ is J-invariant if and only if

$$\rho - \rho^* = \frac{s - s^*}{4} g.$$

Thus ρ^* is symmetric if ρ is J-invariant, hence $d\theta$ is of type $(1,1)$ by Remark 2. Moreover, clearly $\rho(X,B) = \rho^*(X,B)$ for $X \perp B$. Thus the result follows from Theorem 3.

This proof and Corollary 2 give the following

Corollary 4. *If J is integrable and the Ricci tensor is J-invariant, then $\mathfrak{J} : (M,g) \to (\mathcal{Z}, h_t)$ is a harmonic section.*

Remark 3. By [1, Theorem 2], every compact Hermitian surface with J-invariant Ricci tensor is locally conformally Kähler, $d\theta = 0$. Moreover, if its first Betti number is even, it is globally conformally Kähler ([21]). It is still unknown whether there are compact complex surfaces with J-invariant Ricci tensor and odd first Betti number.

4.2. **The case of symplectic** J. Set $\mathcal{N}_p = span\{N(X,Y) : X,Y \in T_pM\}$, $p \in M$, so $\mathcal{N}_p = N(\Lambda^2 T_pM)$. Identity (21) implies that $N(\Lambda^2_- T_pM) = 0$ and $N(\mathfrak{J}) = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{N}_p = N(\Lambda^2_0 T_pM)$ is a J-invariant subspace of T_pM of dimension 0 or 2.

Theorem 4. *Let (M,g,J) be an almost Kähler 4-manifold. Then the map $\mathfrak{J} : M \to (\mathcal{Z}, h_t)$ is a minimal isometric imbedding if and only if the $\star$-Ricci tensor ρ^* is symmetric and for every $p \in M$*

$$Trace\,\{\Lambda^2_0 T_pM \ni \tau \to R_p(\tau)(N(\tau))\} \in \mathcal{N}_p.$$

Proof. Suppose that $N_p = 0$ for a point $p \in M$. Then $\nabla\mathfrak{J}|_p = 0$ by (20). Hence $\mathfrak{J}_*(X) = X^h_{\mathfrak{J}(p)}$ for every $X \in T_pM$. Thus the normal space of $\mathfrak{J}(M)$ at the point $\mathfrak{J}(p)$ is the vertical space $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{J}(p)}$. Therefore the normal component at $\mathfrak{J}(p)$ of $Trace\,\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_*$ vanishes if and only if

$$g(Trace\,\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_*, s_2) = g(Trace\,\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_*, s_3) = 0.$$

where s_2, s_3 are defined via (1) by means of an orthonormal basis $E_1, ..., E_4$ of T_pM such that $E_2 = JE_1$, $E_4 = JE_3$. According to (19) (and in view of (14)) the latter identities are equivalent to $\rho^*(X,Y) = \rho^*(Y,X)$ for every $X, Y \in T_pM$.

Now assume that $N_p \neq 0$. Then there exists $\tau \in \Lambda^2_+ T_pM$, $||\tau|| = 1$, such that $\tau \perp \mathfrak{J}(p)$ and $N(\tau) \neq 0$. Take a unit vector $E_1 \in T_pM$ and set $E_2 = JE_1$, $E_3 = K_\tau E_1$, $E_4 = K_{\mathfrak{J}(p)\times\tau} E_1$. Then $E_1, ..., E_4$ is an orthonormal basis of T_pM such that $\mathfrak{J}(p) = s_1$, $\tau = s_2$, $\mathfrak{J}(p) \times \tau = s_3$. By (21), $N(\tau) = N(s_2) = 2N(E_1, E_3)$, $N(\mathfrak{J}(p) \times \tau) = N(s_3) = 2JN(E_1, E_3)$, thus $N(\mathfrak{J}(p) \times \tau) = JN(\tau)$. Now we set $A_1 = ||N(\tau)||^{-1} N(\tau)$, $A_2 = JA_1$, $A_3 = K_\tau A_1$, $A_4 = K_{\mathfrak{J}(p)\times\tau} A_1$. Note that $A_4 = JA_3$ by (4). In view of (20), we have for every $X \in T_pM$

$$\begin{aligned} &g(\nabla_X \mathfrak{J}, \nabla_{A_1} \mathfrak{J}) \\ &= \frac{1}{16}[g(N(\tau), JX)g(N(\tau), JA) + g(N(\mathfrak{J}(p) \times \tau), JX)g(N(\mathfrak{J}(p) \times \tau), JA)] \\ &= \frac{1}{16}||N(\tau)||^2 g(A_1, X). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$g(\nabla_X\mathfrak{J},\nabla_{A_2}\mathfrak{J})=\frac{1}{16}||N(\tau)||^2g(A_2,X).$$

Note also that

$$g(\nabla_{A_1}\mathfrak{J},\nabla_{A_2}\mathfrak{J})=0.$$

Therefore

$$(A_1)^h_{\mathfrak{J}(p)}-\frac{16}{t||N(\tau)||^2}\nabla_{A_1}\mathfrak{J},\quad (A_2)^h_{\mathfrak{J}(p)}-\frac{16}{t||N(\tau)||^2}\nabla_{A_2}\mathfrak{J}$$

is a h_t- orthogonal basis of the normal space of $\mathfrak{J}(M)$ at $\mathfrak{J}(p)$. It follows from Proposition 1 that the normal component of the vertical part of $Trace\,\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_*$ at $s(p)$ vanishes if and only if

$$g(Trace\,\nabla^2\mathfrak{J},\nabla_{A_1}\mathfrak{J})=g(Trace\,\nabla^2\mathfrak{J},\nabla_{A_2}\mathfrak{J})=0. \tag{23}$$

Using (20), we see that

$$\nabla_{A_1}\mathfrak{J}=\frac{1}{4}||N(\tau)||\mathfrak{J}(p)\times\tau=\frac{1}{4}||N(\tau)||(A_1\wedge A_4+A_2\wedge A_3),$$
$$\nabla_{A_2}\mathfrak{J}=-\frac{1}{4}||N(\tau)||\tau=-\frac{1}{4}||N(\tau)||(A_1\wedge A_3+A_4\wedge A_2).$$

It follows from (18) that (23) is equivalent to the identities

$$\rho^*(A_1,A_3)=\rho^*(A_2,A_4),\quad \rho^*(A_1,A_4)=\rho^*(A_4,A_1).$$

Now, taking into account (14), we see that (23) is equivalent to $\rho^*(N(\tau),X)=\rho^*(X,N(\tau))$ for $X\perp\{N(\tau),JN(\tau)\}$, i.e. $\rho^*(X,Y)=\rho^*(Y,X)$ for $X\perp\mathcal{N}_p$, $Y\in\mathcal{N}_p$. The subspace $\mathcal{N}_p$ and $\mathcal{N}_p^{\perp}$ of T_pM are two-dimensional and J-invariant, and it follows from (14) that $\rho^*(X,Y)=\rho^*(Y,X)$ for $X,Y\in\mathcal{N}_p$ or $X,Y\in\mathcal{N}_p^{\perp}$. Thus identity (23) is equivalent to ρ^* being symmetric.

In view of (22), the normal component of the horizontal part of $Trace\,\widetilde{\nabla}\mathfrak{J}_*$ vanishes if and only if

$$Trace\,\{\Lambda^2_0TM\ni\tau\to R(\tau)(N(\tau))\}\perp\{A_1,A_2\}.$$

This proves the statement.

5. Examples

In this section we give examples of almost Hermitian structures that determine harmonic maps into twistor spaces. We also provide an example of a Hermitian structure that is a minimal isometric imbedding and a harmonic section of the twistor space (in sense of C. Wood [23, 24]) but not a harmonic map.

5.1. **Kodaira surfaces.** Recall that every primary Kodaira surface M can be obtained in the following way [17, p.787]. Let $\varphi_k(z,w)$ be the affine transformations of $\mathbb{C}^2$ given by

$$\varphi_k(z,w)=(z+a_k,w+\overline{a}_kz+b_k),$$

where a_k, b_k, $k=1,2,3,4$, are complex numbers such that

$$a_1=a_2=0,\quad Im(a_3\overline{a}_4)=mb_1\neq 0,\quad b_2\neq 0$$

for some integer $m>0$. They generate a group G of transformations acting freely and properly discontinuously on $\mathbb{C}^2$, and M is the quotient space $\mathbb{C}^2/G$.

It is well-known that M can also be describe as the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^2$ endowed with a group structure by a discrete subgroup Γ. The multiplication on $\mathbb{C}^2$ is defined by

$$(a,b).(z,w)=(z+a,w+\overline{a}z+b),\quad (a,b),(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^2,$$

and Γ is the subgroup generated by (a_k,b_k), $k=1,...,4$ (see, for example, [5]).

Further we consider M as the quotient of the group $\mathbb{C}^2$ by the discrete subgroup Γ. Every left-invariant object on $\mathbb{C}^2$ descends to a globally defined object on M and both of them will be denoted by the same symbol.

We identify $\mathbb{C}^2$ with $\mathbb{R}^4$ by $(z=x+iy, w=u+iv)\to(x,y,u,v)$ and set

$$A_1=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-x\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+y\frac{\partial}{\partial v},\quad A_2=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}-y\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-x\frac{\partial}{\partial v},\quad A_3=\frac{\partial}{\partial u},\quad A_4=\frac{\partial}{\partial v}.$$

These form a basis for the space of left-invariant vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^2$. We note that their Lie brackets are

$$[A_1,A_2]=-2A_4,\quad [A_i,A_j]=0$$

for all other i,j. It follows that the group $\mathbb{C}^2$ defined above is solvable.

Denote by g the left-invariant Riemannian metric on M for which the basis $A_1,...,A_4$ is orthonormal.

We shall show that any integrable or symplectic almost complex structures J on M compatible with the metric g and defined by a left-invariant almost complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^2$ is a harmonic map from (M,g) to $(\mathcal{Z},h_t)$.

Note that by [15] every complex structure on M is induced by a left-invariant complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^2$.

I. If J is a left-invariant almost complex structure compatible with g, we have $JA_i=\sum_{j=1}^4 a_{ij}A_j$ where a_{ij} are constants with $a_{ij}=-a_{ji}$. Let N be the Nijenhuis tensor of J. Computing $N(A_i,A_j)$ in terms of a_{ij}, one can see ([18, 7]) that J is integrable if and only if

$$JA_1=\varepsilon_1A_2,\quad JA_3=\varepsilon_2A_4,\quad \varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2=\pm1.$$

Denote by θ the Lee form of the Hermitian structure (M,g,J) where J is defined by means of the latter identities.

The non-zero covariant derivatives $\nabla_{A_i}A_j$ are

$$\nabla_{A_1}A_2=-\nabla_{A_2}A_1=-A_4,\quad \nabla_{A_1}A_4=\nabla_{A_4}A_1=A_2,\quad \nabla_{A_2}A_4=\nabla_{A_4}A_2=-A_1.$$

This implies that the Lie form is

$$\theta(X)=-2\varepsilon_1 g(X,A_3).$$

Therefore

$$B=-2\varepsilon_1A_3,\quad \nabla\theta=0. \tag{24}$$

Set for short $R_{ijk}=R(A_1,A_j)A_k$. Then the non-zero R_{ijk} are

$$R_{121}=-3A_2,\quad R_{122}=3A_1,\quad R_{141}=A_4,$$
$$R_{144}=-A_1,\quad R_{242}=A_4,\quad R_{244}=-A_2.$$

Set also $\rho_{ij}=\rho(A_i,A_j)$, $\rho^*_{ij}=\rho^*(A_i,A_j)$. Then

$$\begin{gathered}\rho_{ij}=0\quad \textit{and}\quad \rho^*_{ij}=0\quad \textit{except}\\ \rho_{11}=\rho_{22}=-2,\quad \rho_{44}=2,\quad \rho^*_{11}=\rho^*_{22}=-3.\end{gathered} \tag{25}$$

It follows form (24), (25) and Theorem 1 that the complex structure J is a harmonic map from (M,g) to the twistor space $(\mathcal{Z},h_t)$.

It is easy to describe explicitly the twistor space $(\mathcal{Z},h_t)$ ([7]) since Λ^2_+M admits a global orthonormal frame defined by

$$s_1=\varepsilon_1A_1\wedge A_2+\varepsilon_2A_3\wedge A_4,\quad s_2=A_1\wedge A_3+\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2A_4\wedge A_2,\quad s_3=\varepsilon_2A_1\wedge A_4+\varepsilon_1A_2\wedge A_3.$$

It induces a natural diffeomorphism $F:\mathcal{Z}\cong M\times S^2$, $\sum_{k=1}^3 x_ks_k(p))\to(p,x_1,x_2,x_3)$, under which J determines the section $p\to(p,1,0,0)$. In order to find an explicit formula for the metrics h_t we need the covariant derivatives of s_1,s_2,s_3 with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of g. The non-zero of these are

$$\nabla_{A_1}s_1=-\epsilon_2\nabla_{A_4}s_2=-\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2s_3,\quad \varepsilon_1\nabla_{A_1}s_3=-\nabla_{A_2}s_2=\varepsilon_2s_1;$$

$$\varepsilon_2\nabla_{A_2}s_1=-\varepsilon_1\nabla_{A_4}s_3=s_2.$$

It follows that F_* sends the horizontal lifts $A_1^h,...,A_4^h$ at a point $\sigma=\sum_{k=1}^3 x_ks_k(p)\in\mathcal{Z}$ to the following vectors of $TM\oplus TS^2$

$$A_1+\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2(-x_3,0,x_1),\quad A_2+\varepsilon_2(x_2,-x_1,0),\quad A_3,\quad A_4+\varepsilon_1(0,x_3,-x_2).$$

For $x=(x_1,x_2,x_3)\in S^2$, set

$$u_1(x)=\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2(-x_3,0,x_1),\quad u_2(x)=\varepsilon_2(x_2,-x_1,0),\quad u_3(x)=0,\quad u_4(x)=\varepsilon_1(0,x_3,-x_2).$$

Denote the pushforward of the metric h_t under F again by h_t. Then, if $X,Y\in T_pM$ and $P,Q\in T_xS^2$,

$$h_t(X+P,Y+Q)=g(X,Y)+t<P-\sum_{i=1}^4 g(X,A_i)u_i(x),Q-\sum_{j=1}^4 g(Y,A_j)u_j(x)> \tag{26}$$

where $<.,.>$ is the standard metric of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

II. Suppose again that J is an almost complex structure on M obtained from a left-invariant almost complex structure on G and compatible with the metric g. Set $JA_i=\sum_{j=1}^4 a_{ij}A_j$. Denote the fundamental 2-form of the almost Hermitian structure (g,J) by Ω. The basis dual to $A_1,...,A_4$ is

$$\alpha_1=dx,\quad \alpha_2=dy,\quad \alpha_3=xdx+ydy+du,\quad \alpha_4=-ydx+xdy+dv.$$

We have $d\alpha_1=d\alpha_2=d\alpha_3=0$, $d\alpha_4=2dx\wedge dy$. Hence

$$d\Omega=d\sum_{i<j}a_{ij}\alpha_i\wedge\alpha_j=-2a_{34}dx\wedge dy\wedge du.$$

Thus $d\Omega=0$ is equivalent to $a_{34}=0$. If $a_{34}=0$, we have $a_{1j}=a_{2j}=0$ for $j=1,2$, $a_{3k}=a_{4k}=0$ for $k=3,4$, $a_{13}^2+a_{14}^2=1$, $a_{13}a_{23}+a_{14}a_{24}=0$, $a_{23}^2+a_{24}^2=1$. It follows that the structure (g,J) is almost Kähler (symplectic) if and only if J is given by ([18, 7])

$$JA_1=-\varepsilon_1\sin\varphi A_3+\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi A_4,\quad JA_2=-\cos\varphi A_3-\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi A_4,$$

$$JA_3=\varepsilon_1\sin\varphi A_1+\cos\varphi A_2,\quad JA_4=-\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi A_1+\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi A_2,$$

$$\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2=\pm1,\ \varphi\in[0,2\pi).$$

Let J the almost complex structure defined by these identities for some $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2,\varphi$. Set

$$E_1=A_1,\quad E_2=-\varepsilon_1\sin\varphi A_3+\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi A_4,\quad E_3=\cos\varphi A_3+\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi A_4,\quad E_4=A_2.$$

Then $E_1,...,E_4$ is an orthonormal frame of TM for which $JE_1=E_2$ and $JE_3=E_4$. The only non-zero Lie bracket of these fields is

$$[E_1,E_4]=-2(\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi E_2+\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi E_3).$$

The non-zero covariant derivatives $\nabla_{E_i}E_j$ are

$$\nabla_{E_1}E_2=\nabla_{E_2}E_1=\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi E_4,\quad \nabla_{E_1}E_3=\nabla_{E_3}E_1=\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi E_4,$$
$$\nabla_{E_1}E_4=-\nabla_{E_4}E_1=-\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi E_2-\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi E_3,$$
$$\nabla_{E_2}E_4=\nabla_{E_4}E_2=-\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi E_1,\quad \nabla_{E_3}E_4=\nabla_{E_4}E_3=-\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi E_1.$$

Set $R_{ijk}=R(E_i,E_j)E_k$. We have the following table for the non-zero components of the curvature tensor R:

$$R_{121}=\cos^2\varphi E_2+\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1\sin 2\varphi E_3,\quad R_{122}=-\cos^2\varphi E_1,\quad R_{123}=-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1\sin 2\varphi E_1,$$
$$R_{131}=\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1\sin 2\varphi E_2+\sin^2\varphi E_3,\quad R_{132}=-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1\sin 2\varphi E_1,\quad R_{133}=-\sin^2\varphi E_1,$$
$$R_{141}=-3E_4,\quad R_{144}=3E_1,$$
$$R_{242}=\cos^2\varphi E_4,\quad R_{243}=\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1\sin 2\varphi E_4,\quad R_{244}=-\cos^2\varphi E_2-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1\sin 2\varphi E_3,$$
$$R_{342}=\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1\sin 2\varphi E_4,\quad R_{343}=\sin^2\varphi E_4,\quad R_{344}=-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1\sin 2\varphi E_2-\sin^2\varphi E_3.$$

Define an orthonormal frame s_l, $l=1,2,3$, of Λ^2_+TM by means of $E_1,...,E_4$. Then s_2,s_3 is a frame of Λ^2_0TM and by (21)

$$N(s_2)=2N(E_1,E_3)=-4\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi E_1+4\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi E_4,$$
$$N(s_3)=2N(E_1,E_4)=4\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi E_2+4\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi E_3.$$

It follows that

$$Trace\,\{\Lambda^2_0TM\ni\tau\to R(\tau)(N(\tau))\}=R(s_2)(N(s_2))+R(s_3)(N(s_3))=0.$$

Setting $\rho^*_{ij}=\rho^*(E_i,E_j)$, we have

$$\rho^*_{11}=\rho^*_{22}=\cos^2\varphi,\quad \rho^*_{33}=\rho^*_{44}=\sin^2\varphi,\quad \rho^*_{14}=\rho^*_{41}=-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1\sin 2\varphi$$

and the other ρ^*_{ij} vanish. Thus, by Propostion 2, the almost Kähler structure J is a harmonic map $(M,g)\to(\mathcal{Z},h_t)$.

As in the preceding case, it is easy to find an explicit description of the twistor space $\mathcal{Z}$ of M and the metric h_t ([7]). The frame s_1,s_2,s_3 gives rise to an obvious diffeomeorphism $F:\mathcal{Z}\cong M\times S^2$ under which $\mathfrak{J}$ becomes the map $p\to(p,1,0,0)$. We have the following table for the covariant derivatives of s_1,s_2,s_3:

$$\nabla_{E_1}s_1=\nabla_{E_4}s_2=\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi s_3,\quad \nabla_{E_4}s_1=-\nabla_{E_1}s_2=-\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi s_3,$$
$$\nabla_{E_2}s_1=\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi s_2,\quad \nabla_{E_2}s_2=-\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi s_1,\quad \nabla_{E_2}s_3=0,$$
$$\nabla_{E_3}s_1=\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi s_2,\quad \nabla_{E_3}s_2=-\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi s_1,\quad \nabla_{E_3}s_3=0,$$
$$\nabla_{E_1}s_3=-\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi s_1-\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi s_2,\quad \nabla_{E_4}s_3=\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi s_1-\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi s_2.$$

Using this table we see that F_* sends the horizontal lifts E_i^h, $i = 1, ..., 4$, to $E_i + u_i$ where

$$u_1(x) = (x_3\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi, x_3\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi, -x_1\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi - x_2\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi),$$

$$u_2(x) = (x_2\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi, -x_1\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi, 0), \quad u_3(x) = (x_2\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi, -x_1\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi, 0)$$

$$u_4(x) = (-x_3\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi, x_3\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi, x_1\varepsilon_2\sin\varphi - x_2\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cos\varphi).$$

for $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in S^2$. Then, if $X, Y \in T_pM$ and $P, Q \in T_xS^2$,

$$h_t(X + P, Y + Q) = g(X, Y) + t < P - \sum_{i=1}^{4} g(X, E_i)u_i(x), Q - \sum_{j=1}^{4} g(Y, E_j)u_j(x) > . \tag{27}$$

5.2. **Four-dimensional Lie groups.** We shall show that every left-invariant almost Kähler structure (g, J) with J-invariant Ricci tensor on a 4-dimensional Lie group M determines a harmonic map $\mathfrak{J} : (M, g) \to (\mathcal{Z}, h_t)$.

These (non-integrable) structures have been determined in [12]. According to the main result therein, for any such a structure (g, J), there exists an orthonormal frame of left-invariant vector fields $E_1, ..., E_4$ such that

$$JE_1 = E_2, \quad JE_3 = E_4$$

and

$$[E_1, E_2] = 0, \quad [E_1, E_3] = sE_1 + \frac{s^2}{t}E_2, \quad [E_1, E_4] = \frac{s^2 - t^2}{2t}E_1 - sE_2$$

$$[E_2, E_3] = -tE_1 - sE_2, \quad [E_2, E_4] = -sE_1 - \frac{s^2 - t^2}{2t}E_2, \quad [E_3, E_4] = -\frac{s^2 + t^2}{t}E_3$$

where s and $t \neq 0$ are real numbers. Then we have the following table for the Levi-Civita connection

$$\nabla_{E_1}E_1 = -sE_3 - \frac{s^2 - t^2}{2t}E_4, \quad \nabla_{E_2}E_1 = -\frac{s^2 - t^2}{2t}E_3 + sE_4, \quad \nabla_{E_3}E_1 = \frac{s^2 + t^2}{2t}E_2$$

$$\nabla_{E_1}E_2 = -\frac{s^2 - t^2}{2t}E_3 + sE_4, \quad \nabla_{E_2}E_2 = sE_3 + \frac{s^2 - t^2}{2t}E_4, \quad \nabla_{E_3}E_2 == \frac{s^2 + t^2}{2t}E_1$$

$$\nabla_{E_1}E_3 = sE_1 + \frac{s^2 - t^2}{2t}E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_2}E_3 = \frac{s^2 - t^2}{2t}E_1 - sE_2, \quad \nabla_{E_3}E_3 = \frac{s^2 + t^2}{t}E_4$$

$$\nabla_{E_1}E_4 = \frac{s^2 - t^2}{2t}E_1 - sE_2, \quad \nabla_{E_2}E_4 = -sE_1 - \frac{s^2 - t^2}{2t}E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_3}E_4 = -\frac{s^2 + t^2}{t}E_3$$

$$\nabla_{E_4}E_1 = \nabla_{E_4}E_2 = \nabla_{E_4}E_3 = \nabla_{E_4}E_4 = 0.$$

This implies the following table for the components $R_{ijk} = R(E_i, E_j)E_k$ of the curvature tensor; in this table $\lambda = \dfrac{s^2 + t^2}{2t}$.

$$R_{121} = 2\lambda E_2, \quad R_{122} = -2\lambda E_1, \quad R_{123} = 2\lambda E_4, \quad R_{124} = -2\lambda E_3,$$

$$R_{131} = -\lambda E_3, \quad R_{132} = \lambda E_4, \quad R_{133} = \lambda E_1, \quad R_{134} = -\lambda E_2,$$

$$R_{141} = -\lambda E_4, \quad R_{142} = -\lambda E_3, \quad R_{143} = \lambda E_2, \quad R_{144} = \lambda E_1,$$

$$R_{231} = -\lambda E_4, \quad R_{232} = -\lambda E_3, \quad R_{233} = \lambda E_2, \quad R_{234} = \lambda E_1,$$

$$R_{241} = \lambda E_3, \quad R_{242} = -\lambda E_4, \quad R_{243} = -\lambda E_1, \quad R_{244} = \lambda E_2,$$

$$R_{341} = 2\lambda E_2, \quad R_{342} = -2\lambda E_1, \quad R_{343} = -4\lambda E_4, \quad R_{344} = 4\lambda E_3.$$

Then the non-zero $\rho^*_{ij} = \rho^*(E_i, E_j)$ are

$$\rho^*_{11} = \rho^*_{22} = 4\lambda, \quad \rho^*_{33} = \rho^*_{44} = -2\lambda.$$

Therefore the $*$-Ricci tensor ρ^* is symmetric.

Set $s_2 = E_1 \wedge E_3 + E_4 \wedge E_2$, $s_3 = E_1 \wedge E_4 + E_2 \wedge E_3$. Then

$$N(s_2) = 2N(E_1, E_3) = -8(sE_1 + \frac{s^2 - t^2}{2t}E_2),$$
$$N(s_3) = 2N(E_1, E_4) = 8(-\frac{s^2 - t^2}{2t}E_1 + sE_2).$$

It follows that

$$Trace\,\{\Lambda^2_0 TM \ni \tau \to R(\tau)(N(\tau))\} = 0.$$

Thus, according to Theorem 4, J is a harmonic map.

5.3. **Inoue surfaces of type S^0.** . It has been observed in [20] that every Inoue surface M of type S^0 admits a locally conformal Kähler metric g (cf. also [9]). The map $\mathfrak{J} : (M, g) \to (\mathcal{Z}, h_t)$ determined by the complex structure of M is a minimal isometric imbedding that is a harmonic section of the twistor space but not a harmonic map. To see this let us first recall the construction of the Inoue surfaces of type S^0 ([16]). Let $A \in SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ be a matrix with a real eigenvalue $\alpha > 1$ and two complex eigenvalues β and $\overline{\beta}$, $\beta \neq \overline{\beta}$. Choose eigenvectors $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^{\nVDash}$ and $(b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathbb{C}^{\nVDash}$ of A corresponding to α and β, respectively. Then the vectors $(a_1, a_2, a_3), (b_1, b_2, b_3), (\overline{b_1}, \overline{b_2}, \overline{b_3})$ are $\mathbb{C}$-linearly independent. Denote the upper-half plane in $\mathbb{C}$ by $\mathbf{H}$ and let Γ be the group of holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ generated by

$$g_o : (w, z) \to (\alpha w, \beta z), \quad g_i : (w, z) \to (w + a_i, z + b_i),\ i = 1, 2, 3.$$

The group Γ acts on $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ freely and properly discontinuously. Then $M = (\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{C})/\Gamma$ is a complex surface known as Inoue surface of type S^0. As in [20], consider on $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ the Hermitian metric

$$g = \frac{1}{v^2}(du \otimes du + dv \otimes dv) + v(dx \otimes dx + dy \otimes dy), \quad u + iv \in \mathbf{H}, \quad x + iy \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This metric is invariant under the action of the group Γ, so it descends to a Hermitian metric on M which we denote again by g. Instead on M, we shall work with Γ-invariant objects on $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{C}$. Let Ω be the fundamental 2-form of the Hermitian structure (g, J) on $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{C}$, J being the standard complex structure. Then

$$d\Omega = \frac{1}{v} dv \wedge \Omega.$$

Hence the Lee form is $\theta = d\ln v$. In particular, $d\theta = 0$, i.e. (g, J) is a locally conformal Kähler structure. Set

$$E_1 = v\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad E_2 = v\frac{\partial}{\partial v}, \quad E_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad E_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

These are Γ-invariant vector fields constituting an orthonormal basis. Note that the vector field dual to the Lee form is $B = E_2$. The non-zero Lie brackets of $E_1, ..., E_4$ are

$$[E_1, E_2] = -E_1, \quad [E_2, E_3] = -\frac{1}{2}E_3, \quad [E_2, E_4] = -\frac{1}{2}E_4.$$

Then we have the following table for the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of g:

$$\nabla_{E_1}E_1 = E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_1}E_2 = -E_1,$$

$$\nabla_{E_3}E_2 = \frac{1}{2}E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_3}E_3 = -\frac{1}{2}E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_4}E_2 = \frac{1}{2}E_4, \quad \nabla_{E_4}E_4 = -\frac{1}{2}E_2,$$

and all other $\nabla_{E_i}E_j = 0$. It follows that

$$\rho(E_k, B) = \rho^*(E_k, B) = 0 \text{ for k} = 1,3,4, \quad \rho(\mathrm{E_2,B}) = -\frac{3}{2}, \quad \rho^*(\mathrm{E_2,B}) = -1.$$

By Corollary 2 $\mathfrak{J} : (M, g) \to (\mathcal{Z}, h_t)$ is a harmonic section. It is also a minimal isometric imbedding by Theorem 3. But $\mathfrak{J}$ is not a harmonic map according to Theorem 1.

References

[1] V. Apostolov, P. Gauduchon, The Riemannian Goldberg-Sachs theorem, *Int.J.Math.* **8** (1997), 421-439.

[2] M.F. Atyah, N.J. Hitchin, I.M. Singer, *Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry*, Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A, **362** (1978), 435-461.

[3] A. Besse , *Einstein manifolds*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[4] G. Bor, L. Hernández-Lamoneda, M. Salvai, *Orthogonal almost-complex structures of minimal energy*, Geom. Dedicata **127** (2007), 75-85.

[5] C. Borcea, *Moduli for Kodaira surfaces*, Composition Math. **52** (1984), 373-380.

[6] E. Calabi and H. Gluck, *What are the best almost-complex structures on the 6-sphere?*, Proc.Sym.Pure Math. **54** (1993), part 2, 99-106.

[7] J. Davidov, *Twistorial construction of minimal hypersurfaces*, Inter. J. Geom. Methods in Modern Physics **11**, No 6 (2014), 1459964.

[8] J. Davidov, O. Mushkarov, *On the Riemannian curvature of a twistor space*, Acta Math. Hungarica **58** (1991), 319-332.

[9] S. Dragomir, L. Ornea, *Locally conformal Kähler geometry*, Progress in Math., v. 155, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1998.

[10] J. Eeells,L. Lemaire, *Selected topics in harmonic maps*, Cbms Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. **50**, AMS, Providernce, Rhode Island, 1983.

[11] J. Eeells, S. Salamon, *Twistorial constructions of harmonic maps of surfaces into four-manifolds*, Ann.Scuola Norm.Sup. Pisa, ser.IV, **12** (1985), 589-640.

[12] A. Fino, *Almost Kähler* 4*-dimensional Lie groups with* J*-invariant Ricci tensor*, Diff.Geom. Appl. **23** (2005), 26-37.

[13] A. Gray, *Minimal varieties and almost Hermitian manifolds*, Michigan Math. J. **12** (1965), 273-287.

[14] A. Gray, L.M. Hervella, *The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants*, Ann. Mat. Pure Appl. **123** (1980), 35-50.

[15] K. Hasegawa, *Complex and Kähler structures on compact solvmanifolds*, J. Symplectic Geom. **3** (2005), 749-767.

[16] M. Inoue, *On surfaces of class* VII_0, Invent. Math. **24** (1974), 269-310.

[17] K. Kodaira, *On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces I.* Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 751-798.

[18] O. Muškarov, *Two remarks on Thurston's example*, In: Complex analysis and applications '85 (Varna, 1985), Publ. House Bulgar. Acad. Sci., Sofia, 1986, pp. 461-468.

[19] O. Muškarov, *On Hermitian surfaces with* J*-invariant Ricci tensor*, J. Geom. **72** (2001), 151-156.

[20] F. Tricerri, *Some example of locally conformal Kähler manifolds*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Torino **40** (19982), 81-92.

[21] I. Vaisman, *On locally and globally conformal Kähler manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **282** (1980), 533-542.

[22] I. Vaisman, *Some curvature properties of complex surfaces*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **32** (1982), 1-18.

[23] C. Wood, *Instability of the nearly-Kähler six-sphere*, J. reine angew. Math. **439** (1993), 205-212.

[24] C. Wood, *Harmonic almost-complex structures*, Compositio Mathematica **99** (1995), 183-212.

Johann Davidov, Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G.Bonchev Str. Bl.8, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria *and* "L. Karavelov" Civil Engineering Higher School, 1373 Sofia, Bulgaria.
E-mail address: `jtd@math.bas.bg`

Absar ul Haq, Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, GC University, Lahore Pakistan.
E-mail address: `absarulhaq@hotmail.com`

Oleg Mushkarov, Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G.Bonchev Str. Bl.8, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria *and* South-West University "Neofit Rilski", 2700 Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria.
E-mail address: `muskarov@math.bas.bg`